

Subject: Fwd: San Jose ER
From: Miranda Paster <miranda.paster@lacity.org>
Date: 12/11/2012 04:09 PM
To: Donald Duckworth <duckworth.donald@gmail.com>

fyi

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **Kristin Lowell** <kristin@klifinance.com>
Date: Mon, Apr 23, 2012 at 4:16 PM
Subject: San Jose ER
To: Miranda.paster@lacity.org
Cc: Brad Segal <brad@pumaworldhq.com>, Steve Gibson
<steve@urbanplaceconsulting.com>

Hi Miranda!

I wanted to follow up with you regarding the changes to the latest engineer's report that I prepared for San Jose. As we discussed, there is much more scrutiny on the special vs. general benefit analysis especially given the Golden Hill court decision. You'll see from this ER that we conducted an intercept survey to determine the general benefit to the "public at large" plus I did an analysis of the parcels that are outside of the PBID boundary but immediately adjacent to the PBID boundary to determine if there is any spill-over general benefit to those parcels. You'll see that between those two analyses I determined that there was 1.7% general benefit for the San Jose PBID.

I hate the fact that this may now be the wave of the future, but we all have to be more diligent in this process.

Let me know what you think and how we should proceed with LA PBIDs in the future.

Take care,
Kristin

KLI Finance, Inc.

1420 E. Roseville Pkwy #140-342

Roseville, CA 95661

Tel: [\(916\) 786-9686](tel:(916)786-9686)

Fax: [\(916\) 786-0529](tel:(916)786-0529)

--

Counting my blessings - Sing and be Happy Today!

— Attachments: —

San Jose ER.pdf

1.1 MB